



B. Jefferson Boggs, Jr.

703.684.2515
jboggs@merchantgould.com

Washington DC Office
1701 Duke Street
Suite 310
Alexandria, VA 22314

703.864.2500 phone
703.864.2501 fax

Jefferson Boggs has extensive experience in patent litigation and patent interference matters before federal district courts nationwide, and before the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences. He also has extensive experience in the preparation and prosecution of patent applications, and counsels clients on patentability, patent validity and patent infringement matters. Jefferson is a former patent examiner at the United States Patent and Trademark Office, with responsibility for applications directed to chemical, electrochemical and chemical engineering technologies.

Education

University of Kansas
B.S. Chemical Engineering, 1982

George Washington University Law School
J.D., 1988

Bar Admissions

Admitted to practice in the District of Columbia and Virginia
Registered to practice before the United States Patent and Trademark Office
U.S. Court of Appeals, Federal Circuit
U.S. District Court, District of Colorado
U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Michigan
U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Virginia
U.S. District Court, Western District of Wisconsin
Virginia Supreme Court

Professional Affiliations

American Bar Association
American Intellectual Property Law Association

Atlanta

Denver

Knoxville

Madison

Minneapolis

New York

Seattle

Washington DC

B. Jefferson Boggs, Jr. (Continued)

Patent Cases in Federal District Court

Reckitt Benckiser, Inc. v. Watson Laboratories, Inc. - Florida, and Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (Southern District of Florida) - represented the Watson defendants in a patent infringement action under the Hatch-Waxman Act brought by Reckitt Benckiser regarding guaifenesin and the Mucinex line of brand-name products.

In re Brimonidine Patent Litigation (District of Delaware) — Represented Exela PharmSci. Inc. in a patent infringement action under the Hatch-Waxman Act brought by Allergan regarding brimonidine tartrate used to lower intra-ocular pressure and alleviate glaucoma

Enzo Therapeutics, Inc. v. Yeda Research & Dev. Co. (Eastern District of Virginia) — Represented Yeda Research and Development Co. in a §146 Action involving interferon- 2

N2IT Holding BV v. Native Instruments GmbH (Central District of California) — represented the patent owner N2IT in a patent infringement case concerning electronic systems for controlling the playback of digital music

Ceravision, Limited V. Luxim Corporation, (Northern District Of California) — Represented Ceravision in a §146 Action involving high-intensity light sources

Eli Lilly and Co. v. Zenith Goldline Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (Southern District of Indiana) — Represented Lilly in patent infringement action under Hatch Waxman Act, finding defendants to have willfully infringed Lilly's patent to Nizatidine and the product AXID®

Mueller Sports Medicine v. Beveridge Marketing (Western District of Wisconsin) — Represented defendant against charge that its temporary tattoos infringed patent for under-eye patches

Atlanta

Gordon Howard Assocs. v. Payment Protection Systems, Inc. (District of Colorado) — Represented patent owner and maker of automobile loan payment assurance devices, in a declaratory judgment action by a competitor for no patent infringement, unfair competition and other business torts

Denver

Novo Nordisk A/S v. Eli Lilly and Co. (District of Delaware) — Represented Lilly in a declaratory judgment action by a competitor for non-infringement and invalidity of Lilly's patent on the rapid-acting human insulin analog formulation, insulin lispro [rDNA origin]), and the product Humalog®

Knoxville

Allied Signal v. Cooper Automotive (District of Delaware) — Defended Cooper against charge that its platinum-tipped CHAMPION® spark plugs infringed the plaintiff's patent

Madison

BASF Corp. v. Eastman Chemical Co. (District of Delaware) — Defended Eastman against charge of patent infringement concerning a catalytic process for making 2,5-dihydrofuran, a chemical intermediate

Rhodia Chimie and Rhodia, Inc. v. PPG Industries, Inc. (District of Delaware) — Represented plaintiff in a patent infringement action concerning silica particulates

Minneapolis

Driver ID, Inc. v. Payment Protection Systems, Inc. (Eastern District of Michigan) — Represented defendant in patent infringement case concerning automotive electronics

New York

Eli Lilly and Company v. Novo Nordisk of North America, Inc., et al. (Southern District of Indiana) — Represented Lilly in a patent infringement case involving formulations for recombinant human growth hormone

Seattle

Novo Nordisk of North America v. Eli Lilly and Company (District of New Jersey) — Defended Lilly against charge of patent infringement case involving Lilly's recombinant human growth hormone (rhGH) and its product Humatrope®

Washington DC

Boerner GmbH v. Bed Bath & Beyond (Eastern District of Virginia) — Represented the patent owner in patent infringement action involving cutlery tools

B. Jefferson Boggs, Jr. (Continued)

Bolanos v. MacGregor Golf Company (District of Connecticut) — Defended MacGregor Golf Company in a patent infringement action relating to golf club design

TV Interactive Data Corporation v. Microsoft Corporation (Northern District of California) — Defended Microsoft against charge of patent infringement involving its Windows technology

Patent Interferences

Yu et al (Human Genome Sciences, Inc.) v. Browning et al (Biogen Idec) — Represented Human Genome Sciences in an interference proceeding relating to LymphoStat-B, a human monoclonal antibody that inhibits the biological activity of B-lymphocyte stimulator (BLyS), before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences

Novozymes North America, Inc. v. Genencor International, Inc. — Patent Interference No. 105,155 — Represented Genencor in an interference proceeding relating to cellulase enzymes for detergents before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences.

Eli Lilly and Company v. University of Washington — Represented Eli Lilly in an interference proceeding involving Protein C before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences

Sturman Industries v. Caterpillar — Represented Sturman in an interference proceeding involving fuel injector valves before U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences

www.merchantgould.com

Atlanta

Denver

Knoxville

Madison

Minneapolis

Omaha

Seattle

Washington DC