



Jeffrey D. Blake

404.954.5040
jblake@merchantgould.com

Atlanta Office
191 Peachtree Street N.E.
Suite 4300
Atlanta, GA 30303 USA

404.954.5100 phone
404.954.5099 fax

Jeff Blake is a partner in the Atlanta office, where his practice involves all aspects of intellectual property litigation and counseling. Jeff's experience includes representation of clients in the pharmaceutical and electrical arts at trial and on appeal before the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit.

Jeff has represented major pharmaceutical companies in numerous litigations brought under the Hatch-Waxman Amendments to the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act and in Section 337 actions before the U.S. International Trade Commission. He also has represented electronics companies in patent infringement litigation involving semiconductor circuitry, telephony, microprocessor hardware and software, network security and automotive airbag deployment software.

In addition, Jeff has litigated multiple copyright infringement actions, negotiated the licensing of patent portfolios and gained experience in misuse of internet domain names under the Uniform Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy.

Education

University of Virginia School of Law
J.D., 2000

University of Alabama
B.S.E.E., summa cum laude, 1997

Bar Admissions

New York State Bar, 2001
Georgia State Bar, 2008
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 2006
U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, 2004

Atlanta

Denver

Knoxville

Madison

Minneapolis

New York

Seattle

Washington DC

Jeffrey D. Blake (continued)

U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, 2003

U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York, 2003

U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Georgia, 2008

Professional Affiliations

Member, Federal Circuit Bar Association

Member, Patent Litigation Committee, American Bar Association

Member, Intellectual Property Law Section, American Bar Association

Member, Intellectual Property Law Section, State Bar of Georgia

Member, American Intellectual Property Law Association

Representative Cases

Takeda Pharmaceuticals, et al. v. Teva Pharmaceuticals, et al. (District of Delaware) - Represented one of the world's largest pharmaceutical companies in the trial of a Hatch-Waxman case relating to the drug lansoprazole, which has an annual market of more than \$3 billion.

Brigham & Women's Hospital, et al. v. Teva Pharmaceuticals, et al. (District of Delaware) - Represented one of the world's largest pharmaceutical companies in the trial of a Hatch-Waxman case relating to the drug cinacalcet, which has an annual market of more than \$400 million.

Takeda Pharmaceuticals, et al. v. Teva Pharmaceuticals, et al. (Southern District of New York) – Represented one of the world's largest pharmaceutical companies in a Hatch-Waxman case relating to the drug pioglitazone, which has an annual market of more than \$2.5 billion.

Atlanta

Teva Pharmaceuticals v. AstraZeneca L.P., et al. (Eastern District of Pennsylvania) – Represented one of the world's largest pharmaceutical companies in enforcement of patent relating to the drug rosuvastatin. Representation included oral argument on behalf of client at the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit.

Denver

In the Matter of Certain Alendronate Salts and Products Containing Same (U.S.I.T.C.) – Represented the defendant manufacturer of an active pharmaceutical ingredient in drugs used to treat osteoporosis in a Section 337 action before the U.S. International Trade Commission.

Knoxville

Texas Instruments v. Linear Technology Corp. (Eastern District of Texas) – Represented semiconductor manufacturer in patent infringement litigation relating to manufacture of semiconductor circuitry.

Madison

Premier Networks v. Lucent Technologies and AT&T (Northern District of Illinois) – Represented leading telephone companies in patent infringement litigation relating to telephone circuitry.

Minneapolis

Via Technologies, et al. v. Intel (Western District of Texas) – Represented microprocessor manufacturer in patent infringement action relating to microprocessor hardware and software.

New York

Publications

Author, "U.S. Supreme Court Reinvigorates Patent Exhaustion Doctrine," *Electronic Banking and Commerce Law* (July 2008)

Seattle

Co-author, "Selected Intellectual Property Developments," *Electronic Banking and Commerce Law, Quarterly Update* (June 2008)

Author, "Patent Licenses Can Create State Contacts Bestowing Personal Jurisdiction," *Intellectual Property Litigation Reporter* (May 2006)

Washington DC