



Shane A. Brunner

sbrunner@merchantgould.com

Madison Office
10 East Doty Street
Suite 600
Madison, WI 53703

Shane Brunner represents clients in complex intellectual property cases involving patents, trademarks, trade dress and trade secrets in a variety of industry sectors and technical fields including pharmaceuticals, biotechnology, mechanical technology, electronics, computer technologies and business methods. Mr. Brunner has extensive experience in representing generic pharmaceutical companies in Hatch-Waxman litigation. Mr. Brunner also often serves as local counsel for intellectual property litigation and other complex litigation brought in the Western District of Wisconsin. Before becoming a lawyer, Mr. Brunner practiced as a certified public accountant at Coopers & Lybrand.

Education

University of Wisconsin Law School

J.D., *cum laude*, 1999

Order of the Coif, Articles Editor, *Wisconsin Law Review*, Member, Moot Court Board

University of Wisconsin-Madison

B.B.A., Accounting, 1993

Bar Admissions

Illinois

Wisconsin

Court Admissions

U.S. Court of Appeals, Federal Circuit

U.S. Court of Appeals, Seventh Circuit

U.S. District Court, Western District of Wisconsin

U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Wisconsin

U.S. District Court, Northern District of Illinois

Professional Activities

Member, State Bar of Wisconsin

Member, State Bar of Illinois

Certified Public Accountant

www.merchantgould.com

Atlanta

Denver

Knoxville

Madison

Minneapolis

Omaha

Seattle

Washington DC

Shane A. Brunner (Continued)

Representative Experience

AstraZeneca, et al. v. Aurobindo Ltd., et al. (D.Del.) (represented defendant in patent infringement case involving pharmaceutical composition).

Crown Iron Works et at v. Specialty Protein Producers, Inc. et al., (Minn.) (represented defendants in trade secret case involving soy protein technology).

The Braun Corporation v. Vantage Mobility, (N.D. Ind.) (represented plaintiff in patent infringement case involving electronic technology allowing wheelchair accessibility to vehicles).

Monster Cable Products v. Trippe Manufacturing, (E.D. Tex.) (represented defendant in patent, trademark, and trade dress case involving power conditioning products).

Third Wave Technologies, Inc. v. Stratagene Corp., (D.Del.) (represented plaintiff in patent infringement case involving nucleic acid cleaving technology).

Herman Miller, Inc. v. Teknion Corp., (N.D. Ill.) (represented defendants in patent infringement case involving furniture design technology).

Forest Labs., Inc., et al. v. IVAX Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., (D.Del.) (represented defendant in patent infringement case involving pharmaceutical composition).

Avid Identification Systems, Inc. v. Allflex USA, Inc. (W.D. Wis.) (represented defendant in patent infringement case involving radio frequency identification technology).

Rexall v. Pharmavite LLC (W.D. Wis.) (represented defendant in patent infringement case involving nutraceutical tablet preparation technology).

Eli Lilly and Co. v. Zenith Goldline Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (S.D. Ind.) (represented defendant in patent infringement case involving pharmaceutical composition).

Promega Corp. v. Applera (W.D. Wis.) (represented plaintiff in patent infringement case involving DNA genetic identity technology).

The Regents of the University of California v. Promega Corp. (N.D. Cal.) (represented defendant in patent licensing dispute involving certain biotechnology patents and products).

Chu v. Sabratek, 100 F.Supp. 2d 827 (N.D. Ill.) (represented corporation and certain Directors and Officers in class action 10-b-5 claim).

Netcraft v. eBay and PayPal (W.D. Wis.) (represented defendants as local counsel in patent infringement case).

Taurus v. Ford Motor Co. et al., (W.D. Wis.) (represented defendants as local counsel in patent infringement case).

www.merchantgould.com

Atlanta

Denver

Knoxville

Madison

Minneapolis

Omaha

Seattle

Washington DC